PLANNING COMMITTEE

26th October 2021

Subject:

REPORT OF:

Member Update for Planning Committee

Head of Planning

Contact officer:

Andy Higham

E mail: andy.higham@enfield.gov.uk

Tel: 0208 132 0711

Update to Planning Committee

Ahead of Tuesday's Planning Committee meeting, there are a small number of additional items that you should be aware of and which will be of assistance to Members in your assessment of the proposals.

1.0 Item 5: 20/02858/FUL - 100 Church Street, Enfield, EN2 6BQ

1.1 Under the Public Sector Equalities Duty, an equalities impact assessment has been undertaken. It is considered the proposal would not disadvantage people who share one of the different nine protected characteristics as defined by the Equality Act 2010 compared to those who do not have those characteristics.

2.0 Item 7: 20/01742/FUL - 50/56 Fore Street, Edmonton, N18 2SS

2.1 Following recent alterations to the design of the development, including alterations to the internal configuration of the base element, the number of residential units has been reduced from 114 to 113. The mix identified at Para 9.46 has been revised as follows:

| Type of Unit | Number of |
|--|-----------|
| 1 Bed, 1 Person Units | 2 |
| 1 Bed, 2 Person Units | 29 |
| 1 Bed, 2 Person Units (DDA) | 4 |
| 2 Bed, 3 Person Units | 28 |
| 2 Bed 3 Person Units (DDA | 4 |
| 2 Bed, 4 Person Units (DDA) | 1 |
| 2 Bed, 4 Person Units | 31 |
| 3 Bed, 5 Person Units | 3 |
| 2 D L 4 D LL 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | Α |

- 2.2 The internal reconfiguration has also meant the flexible commercial community floorspace is now 256 sq.m.
- 2.3 At Para 4.1 when describing the Site and Surroundings, reference has been made to the former Gilpen Bell Public House. In addition, at Para 9.14, reference is made to the public house being closed. While there other references to the public house in the report, it can be confirmed that the public house is open. The assessment has been made on this basis.
- 2.4 Under the Public Sector Equalities Duty, an equalities impact assessment has been undertaken. It is considered the proposal would not disadvantage people who share one of the different nine protected characteristics as defined by the Equality Act 2010 compared to those who do not have those characteristics.